

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,494	09/08/2003	Ke Liu	TH3098	2027
23632 SHELL OIL CO	7590 03/07/200° OMPANY		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2463			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
HOUSTON, TX 772522463		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			-	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,494	LIU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Edward M. Johnson	1754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Description</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended of the conference of the declaration is objected to by the Extended of the conference of the declaration is objected to by the Extended of the conference of the declaration is objected to be the conference of the declaration is objected to be the conference of the declaration is objected to be the conference of the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in the declaration in the declaration is objected to be the declaration in	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/658,494

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maunula US 2002/0054843 in view of Buchanan et al. US 5,591,417.

Regarding claim 1, Maunula '843 discloses a method for purifying exhaust comprising contacting the exhaust with a unified NOx adsorbent catalyst and particle separator system (see 0012), wherein the system comprises a ceramic having a honeycomb structure (see 0047), and regenerating the system (see 0048 and Example 1).

Maunula fails to disclose regeneration with syngas.

Buchanan '417 discloses regeneration with syngas (see column 7, lines 1-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the syngas

Art Unit: 1754

regeneration of Buchanan in the exhaust purifying method of Maunula because Buchanan discloses the syngas regeneration for use in a process for removing NOx (title), which permits a reduction in combustion air and temperature, and improves fuel efficiency and NOx reduction (see column 3, lines 15-21).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that the Examiner argued that it would have obvious... method of Maunula. This is not persuasive because Applicant appears to admit that "industrial plants" are disclosed and internal combustion engines are used in industrial plants. And, in any case, with respect to the recitation of internal combustion engines, the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1754

4. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/658,494. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754 Page 5

EMJ